arXiv:1601.06475v1 [math.CO] 25 Jan 2016

STRATA OF DISCRIMINANTAL ARRANGEMENTS

ANATOLY LIBGOBER AND SIMONA SETTEPANELLA

Abstract. We give explicit description of the multiplicities of codimension two strata of discriminantal arrangements of Manin and Schechtman. As applications we discuss connection of these results with properties of Gale transform, the study of the fundamental groups of the complements to discriminantal arrangements and study of the space of generic arrangements of lines in projective plane.

1. Introduction

In 1989 Manin and Schechtman (cf.[15]) considered a family of arrangements of hyperplanes generalizing classical braid arrangements which they called the *discriminantal arrangements* (cf. [15] p.209). Such an arrangement $\mathcal{B}(n,k), n,k \in \mathbf{N}$ for $k \geq 2$ depends on a choice $H_1^0, ..., H_n^0$ of collection of hyperplanes in general position in $\mathbb{C}^k$. It consists of parallel translates of $H_1^{t_1}, ..., H_n^{t_n}, (t_1, ..., t_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ which fail to form a generic arrangement in $\mathbb{C}^k$. $\mathcal{B}(n,k)$ can be viewed as a generalization of the pure braid group arrangements (cf. [18]) with which $\mathcal{B}(n,1)$ coincides. These arrangements have several beautiful relations with diverse problems including combinatorics (cf. [15] and also [5], which is an earlier appearance of discriminantal arrangmements), Zamolodchikov equation with its relation to higher category theory (cf. Kapranov-Voevodsky [10]), and vanishing of cohomology of bundles on toric varieties (cf. [19]).

The purpose of this note is to study dependence of $\mathcal{B}(n,k)$ on the data $H_1^0, ..., H_n^0$. Paper [15] concerns with arrangements $\mathcal{B}(n,k)$ which combinatorics is constant on a Zariski open set $\mathcal{Z}$ in the space of generic arrangements $H_i^0, i = 1, ..., n$ but does not describe the set $\mathcal{Z}$ explicitly. It was shown in [7] [1] that, contrary to what was frequently stated (see for instance [17], sect. 8, [18] or [12]), the combinatorial type of $\mathcal{B}(n,k)$ indeed depends on the arrangement $\mathcal{A}^0$ of hyperplanes $H_i^0, i = 1, ..., n$ by providing an example of $\mathcal{A}^0$ for which the corresponding discriminantal arrangement has combinatorial type distinct from the one which occurs when $\mathcal{A}^0$ varies within the Zariski open set $\mathcal{Z}$. In [1] Athanasiadis gives an algebraic condition for $\mathcal{A}^0$ to yield the discriminantal arrangement $\mathcal{B}(n,k)$ with combinatorics which occurs when $\mathcal{A}^0$ belongs to $\mathcal{Z}$.

Our main result describes a simple necessary and sufficient *geometric* condition on arrangement $\mathcal{A}^0$ which assures that multiplicities of strata of corresponding $\mathcal{B}(n,k)$ up to codimension 2 are generic i.e. the same as occur for $\mathcal{A}^0 \in \mathcal{Z}$. This result casts a light on the geometric reasons behind the algebraic condition in [1]

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 52C35 52B35 20F36 14-XX 05B35.

Key words and phrases. discriminantal arrangements, braid groups, fundamental groups, Gale transform.

The first named author was supported by a grant from Simons Foundation.

[1] this is also content of earlier work [5] sect. 4

and holds in case of arrangements defined in any field, including complex one. Moreover it is suggestive of possible generalisations to higher codimensional strata.

We give several applications of our result. Firstly, we interpret it in terms of Gale transform (cf. section 4). Relation between discriminantal arrangements and Gale tranform can be seen, at least implicitly, already in paper [7]. From this view point our result can be viewed as invariance of certain type of collinearity: special position of data of $\mathcal{A}^0$ is equivalent of presence of dependencies in the Gail transform which in turn is equivalent to presence of strata of multiplicity 3 in arrangement $\mathcal{B}(n,k)$. We shall give independent verification of such invariance using interpretation of Gale transform of six-tuple of point in $\mathbb{P}^2$ using cubic surfaces (cf. [6]): the strata of $B(6,3)$ having multiplicity 3 correspond cubic surfaces admitting an Eckardt point (cf. section 4.2).

Other applications have to do with the fundamental groups of the complements to discriminantal arrangements. We supplement R.Lawrence presentation (cf. [12]) by giving presentation of the fundamental group in the case of non-generic discriminantal arrangements (i.e. for which $\mathcal{A}^0 \notin \mathcal{Z}$). In fact we give calculations yielding the braid monodromy and presentation of discriminantal arrangement.

Finally we show that in the case of discriminantal arrangements of lines in the plane, there is only one combinatorial type of discriminantal arrangements. We also show that this in turns opens the door to description of the fundamental group of the space of generic arrangements in $\mathbb{P}^2$, i.e. space of ordered collections of lines which are in general position, in terms of the braid groups and the fundamental groups of discriminantal arrangement (cf. [9] for a discussion of analytic properties of spaces of generic arrangements)

The content of paper is the following. In section 2 we introduce several notions used later and recall definitions from [15]. Section 3 contains one of the main results of this paper describing codimension 2 strata of discriminantal arrangements having multiplicity 3 and showing absence of codimension 2 strata having multiplicity 4 (with obvious exceptions, cf. Theorem 3.8). The section 4 includes an assortment of corollaries: its content is apparent from the headings of each. The last two sections describe independence of combinatorics of the $\mathcal{B}(n,2)$ from initial arrangement $\mathcal{A}^0$ and the fundamental groups of the complements to discriminantal arrangements and the space of generic arrangements of lines in $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Finally, the first named author wants to that I.Dolgachev for a useful comment on meterial in section 4 authors want to thank Max Planck Institute and University of Hokkaido[2] for hospitality during visits to this institutions where much of the work on this project was done

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Discriminantal arrangements.** Let $H_i^0, i = 1, ..., n$ be a generic arrangement in $\mathbb{C}^k, k < n$ i.e. a collection of hyperplanes such that $\dim \bigcap_{i\in K, \mathrm{Card} K=k} H_i^0 = 0$. Space of parallel translates $\mathbb{S}(H_1^0, ..., H_n^0)$ (or simply $\mathbb{S}$ when dependence on H_i^0 is clear or not essential) is the space of n-tuples $H_1, ..., H_n$ such that either $H_i \cap H_i^0 = \emptyset$ or $H_i = H_i^0$ for any $i = 1, ..., n$. One can identify $\mathbb{S}$ with n-dimensional affine space $\mathbb{C}^n$ in such a way that $(H_1^0, ..., H_n^0)$ corresponds to the origin.

We will use the compactification of $\mathbb{C}^k$ viewing it as $\mathbb{P}^k \setminus H_\infty$ endowed with collection of hyperplanes $\bar{H}_i^0$ which are projective closures of affine hyperplanes

[2]This was supported by JSPS Kakenhi Grant Number 26610001.

H_i^0. Condition of genericity is equivalent to $\bigcup_i H_i^0$ being a normal crossing divisor in $\mathbb{P}^k$. The space $\mathbb{S}$ can be identified with product $\mathcal{L}_1 \times \ldots \times \mathcal{L}_n$ where $\mathcal{L}_i \simeq \mathbb{C}$ is parametrized by $\mathbb{P}^1$ pencil of hyperplanes spanned by H_∞ and H_i^0 with the deleted point corresponding to H_∞ and the origin corresponding to H_i^0. In particular, an ordering of hyperplanes in $\mathcal{A}$ determines the coordinate system in $\mathbb{S}$.

For a generic arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathbb{C}^k$ formed by hyperplanes $H_i, i = 1, ..., n$ *the trace at infinity* (denoted by $\mathcal{A}_\infty$) is the arrangement formed by hyperplanes $H_{\infty,i} = \bar{H}_i^0 \cap H_\infty$. For an intersection $H_{i_1} \cap ... \cap H_{i_t}, t \geq 1$ of hyperplanes in $\mathcal{A}$, we can consider the arrangement in this intersection formed by intersections of the hyperplanes $H \in \mathcal{A}, H \neq H_{i_s}, s = 1, ..., t$ with $H_{i_1} \cap ... \cap H_{i_t}$. We call the resulting arrangement *the restriction* of $\mathcal{A}$ to $H_{i_1} \cap ... \cap H_{i_t}$.

The trace $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ of an arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ determines the space of parallel translates $\mathbb{S}(H_1^0, ..., H_n^0)$ (as a subspace in the space of n-tuples of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^k$).

For generic arrangement $\mathcal{A}_\infty$, we consider the closed subset of $\mathbb{S}(H_1^0, ..., H_n^0)$ formed by those collections which fail to form a generic arrangement. This subset is a union of hyperplanes with each hyperplane corresponding to a subset $K = [i_1, ..., i_{k+1}] \subset [1, ..., n]$ and consists of n-tuples of translates of hyperplanes $H_1^0, ..., H_n^0$ in which translates of $H_{i_1}^0, ..., H_{i_{k+1}}^0$ fail to form a generic arrangement. (equations are given by (3) below). It will be denoted D_K. The corresponding arrangement will be denoted $\mathcal{B}(n, k, \mathcal{A}_\infty)$ and called *the discriminantal arrangement corresponding to* $\mathcal{A}_\infty$.

The cardinality of $\mathcal{B}(n, k)$ is equal to $\binom{n}{k+1}$. Each hyperplane D_K contains the k-dimensional subspace $\mathbb{T}$ of $\mathbb{S}(H_1^0, ..., H_n^0)$ formed by n-tuples of hyperplanes containing a fixed point in $\mathbb{C}^k$. Clearly, the essential rank (cf. [21]) of $\mathcal{B}(n, k, \mathcal{A}_\infty)$ is $n - k$ and the arrangement induced by the arrangment of hyperplanes D_K in the quotient of $\mathbb{S}(H_1^0, ..., H_n^0)$ by $\mathbb{T}$ is essential. It is called *the essential part* of the discriminantal arrangement.

2.2. **Hyperplanes in $\mathcal{B}(n, k)$.** Recall that arbitrary arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ of hyperplanes $W_1, ..., W_N \subset \mathbb{C}^k$ defines canonical stratification of $\mathbb{C}^k$ in which strata are defined as follows. Let $L(\mathcal{A})$ be the intersection poset of subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^k$ each being the intersection of a collection of hyperplanes chosen among $W_1, ..., W_N$ and for each $P \in L(\mathcal{A})$, let $\Sigma_P \subset [1, ..., N]$ be the set of indices of hyperplanes W_i such that $P = \cap_{i \in \Sigma_P} W_i$. Vice versa, given a subset $\Sigma \subset [1, ..., N]$ we denote by w_Σ the subspace $w_\Sigma = \cap_{i \in \Sigma} W_i$. Stratum of P is the submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^k$ defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}_P = P \setminus \bigcup_{\Sigma_P \subset \Sigma} w_\Sigma \quad . \tag{1}$$

If an arrangement $\mathcal{A} = \{W_1, ..., W_N\}$ in $\mathbb{C}^k$ is *generic* then the finite subset in $\mathbb{C}^k$ consisting of 0-dimensional strata has cardinality $\binom{N}{k}$ and its elements are in one to one correspondence with the subsets of $[1, ..., N]$ having cardinality k.

The multiplicity of points $p \in \mathcal{S}_P$ considered as a point on the subvariety $\bigcup_{i=1,...,N} W_i$ in $\mathbb{C}^k$ is constant along the stratum. We call it *the multiplicity* of the stratum $\mathcal{S}_P$. It is equal to cardinality of the set Σ_P.

As we noted, the hyperplanes of $\mathcal{B}(n, k)$ correspond to subsets of cardinality $k+1$ in $[1, \ldots, n]$. Their equations can be obtained as follows. Let $K, |K| = k + 1$, be a subset in $[1, ..., n]$ and let

$$\alpha_1^j y_1 + \ldots + \alpha_k^j y_k = x_j^0, \quad j \in [1, ..., n] \tag{2}$$

be equation of hyperplane H^0_j of arrangement $\mathcal{A}^0 = \{H^0_1, \dots, H^0_n\} \in \mathbb{C}^n \backslash B(n,k,\mathcal{A}^\infty)$ in selected coordinates $y_1, ..., y_k$ in $\mathbb{C}^k$. The hyperplanes H_j, $j \in K$, of arrangement in $\mathbb{S}$ with equations $\alpha^j_1 y_1 + \ldots + \alpha^j_k y_k = x_j, \quad j \in K$, will have non empty intersection iff

$$det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^1_1 & ... & \alpha^1_k & x_1 \\ ... & ... & ... & ... \\ \alpha^{k+1}_1 & ... & \alpha^{k+1}_k & x_{k+1} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \tag{3}$$

This provides a linear equation in $x_j, j = 1, ..., k+1$, for hyperplane D_K corresponding to K.

Let J be a subset in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ of cardinality a,

$$D_J = \{(H_1, \dots, H_n) \in \mathbb{S} \text{ such that } \cap_{i \in J} H_i \neq \emptyset\} \tag{4}$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_{k+1}(J) = \{K \subset J \text{ such that } \mid K \mid = k+1\} \quad . \tag{5}$$

Then

$$D_J = \bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{P}_{k+1}(J)} D_K \tag{6}$$

is intersection of $\binom{a}{k+1}$ hyperplanes. In particular D_J, $|J| \geq k+1$, is a linear subspace and the multiplicity of $\bigcup_{|K|=k+1} D_K$ at its generic point is $\binom{a}{l+1}$. Moreover, codim D_J is $a-k$.

2.3. **Projections of discriminantal arrangements.** . Let $\Xi \subset [1, ..., n]$ be a subset of the set of indices and let $\mathbb{S}(\Xi) \subset \mathbb{S}$ be subspace of the space of translates of hyperplanes of a generic arrangement $H^0_1, ..., H^0_n$ consisting of translates of hyperplanes with indices in Ξ. One has projection $p_\Xi : \mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{S}(\Xi)$ obtained by omitting from a collections of translated, the translates of hyperplanes with indices outside of Ξ. The image of a subspace $D_J, J \subset [1, ..., n]$ is a proper subspace iff $CardJ \cap \Xi \geq k+1$ and in fact $p_\Xi(D_J) = D_{J \cap \Xi}$. Moreover, p_Ξ is a stratified map, with both $\mathbb{S}$ and $\mathbb{S}(\Xi)$ endowed with stratifications corresponding to discriminantal arrangements, in the sense that image of a stratum in $\mathbb{S}$ belongs to a stratum in $\mathbb{S}(\Xi)$.

The maps p_Ξ are locally trivial fibrations if and only if $k = 1$. Note, that some recent works (cf. for example [8]) refer to discriminantal arrangements in a more narrow sense than used in this paper i.e. as the arrangements induced on the fibers of p_Ξ given explicitly as

$$p^{-1}_{\{1,...,l\}}(t_1, ..., t_l) = \{(z_1, ..., z_{n-l}) | z_i = z_j \text{ or } z_i = t_k, \ k = 1, ..., l, \ i, j = 1, ..., n-l\} \tag{7}$$

3. Codimension two strata having multiplicity 3

In this section we shall describe necessary and sufficient conditions on the trace at infinity $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ so that the corresponding discriminantal arrangement will have codimenion two strata having multiplicity 3. We shall start with two lemmas (3.1 and 3.4), providing examples of non-transversal triples of hyperplanes D_K (subscript of a hyperplane always is a set of cardinality $k+1$).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $s \geq 2$, $n = 3s, k = 2s-1$ and $K_i, i = 1,2,3$, be subsets of $[1,...,n]$ such that* Card $K_i = 2s$, Card $K_i \cap K_j = s$, $i \neq j$, $\bigcap K_i = \emptyset$ *(in particular* Card $\bigcup K_i = n = 3s$*).*
Let $\mathcal{A}^0$ be a generic arrangement of n hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}^k$. Consider the triple of codimension s subspaces $H^0_{\infty,i,j} = H^0_{i_1} \cap ... \cap H^0_{i_s} \cap H_\infty$, $i_1,...,i_s \in K_i \cap K_j, i \neq j$ of the hyperplane at infinity H_∞ i.e. the closures of irreducible components of the codimension s strata of the trace of $\mathcal{A}^0$ at infinity.
For each K_i, $i = 1,2,3$, let $D_{K_i} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ denote the hyperplane in $\mathcal{B}(3s, 2s-1, \mathcal{A}^0)$ corresponding to the subset of indices K_i.
If subspaces $H^0_{\infty,i,j} \subset H_\infty$ span a proper subspace in H_∞ then Codim $\bigcap D_{K_i} = 2$. *Otherwise this codimension is equal to 3.*

This lemma suggests the following:

Definition 3.2. *A generic arrangement in $\mathbb{P}^{2s-2}, s \geq 2$, is called* **dependent** *if it is composed of $3s$ hyperplanes W_i which can be partitioned into 3 groups, each containing s hyperlanes, such that 3 subspaces of dimension $s-2$, each being intersection of hyperplanes in one group, span a proper subspace in $\mathbb{P}^{2s-2}$* [3]*. We call these three $s-2$-dimensional subspaces* **dependent**.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Consider first the case when subspaces $H^0_{\infty,i,j}$ span a proper hyperplane in H_∞ which we shall denote $\mathcal{H}$. Note that $\dim H_\infty = 2s-2, \dim H^0_{\infty,i,j} = s-2$ and as a consequence of $\mathcal{A}$ being generic, these subspaces do not intersect i.e. the subspace which they span has dimension greater than $2s-4$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{H^0_i\}$ be arrangement in $\mathbb{C}^k = \mathbb{C}^{2s-1}$ which belongs to D_{K_1} and D_{K_2}. Hence $\bigcap_{i \in K_1} H^0_i \neq \emptyset$ and $\bigcap_{i \in K_2} H^0_i \neq \emptyset$. We show that $\bigcap_{i \in K_3} H^0_i \neq \emptyset$ which would imply that Codim $\bigcap_{i=1,2,3} D_{K_i}$ = Codim $\bigcap_{i=1,2} D_{K_i} = 2$. Let $L_{i,j} = \bigcap_{s \in K_i \cap K_j, i<j} H^0_s$. Each $L_{i,j}$ is a codimension s subspace in $\mathbb{C}^{2s-1}$ and $L_{i,j} \cap H_\infty = H^0_{\infty,i,j}$. Since $\mathcal{A} \in D_{K_1}$, the subspaces $L_{1,2}$ and $L_{1,3}$ have non empty intersection. Therefore they span a hyperplane $\mathcal{L}_1$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2s-1}$ which intersection with H_∞ is the hyperplane $\mathcal{H}$ spanned by $H_{\infty,1,2}$ and $H_{\infty,1,3}$. The hyperplane $\mathcal{L}_1$ is spanned by the intersection point $L_{1,2} \cap L_{1,3}$ and the hyperplane $\mathcal{H}$.

Similarly, since $\mathcal{A} \in D_{K_2}$ both $L_{1,2}$ and $L_{2,3}$ have a point in common they span the hyperplane $\mathcal{L}_2$ spanned by this point and the above hyperplane $\mathcal{H}$ which can be described as the plane spanned by $H_{\infty,1,2}$ and $H_{\infty,1,3}$. Both hyperplane $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$ contain $L_{1,2}$ and hence coincide. Hence $L_{1,3}$ and $L_{2,3}$ being both in $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_2$ must have a point in common and hence $\mathcal{A} \in \bigcap_{i=1,2,3} D_{K_i}$.

Now assume that $H_{\infty,i,j}$ span H_∞. Let $\mathcal{A} \in D_{K_1} \cap D_{K_2}$ be sufficiently generic in this space. We show that it does not belong to D_{K_3}. Consider family of s codimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^{2s-1}$ which compactification intersects the hyperplane at infinity at $H^0_{\infty,2,3}$. Selection of $\mathcal{A}$ determines subspaces $L_{1,2}, L_{1,3} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2s-1}$ which have a common point and moreover the subspace $L_{2,3}$ which intersects $L_{1,2}$. Since triple $H_{\infty,i,j}$ is *not* in a hyperplane in H_∞, so is the case for subspace $L_{1,2}, L_{1,3}$ and $H_{\infty,2,3}$ in the compactification of $\mathbb{C}^{2s-1}$. Hence generic subspace L of codimension s containing $H_{\infty,2,3}$ and intersecting $L_{1,2}$ will have empty intersection with $L_{1,3}$. The corresponding arrangement $\mathcal{A}'$ having L as the subspace $L_{2,3}$ will not belong to D_{K_3} but will be in $D_{K_1} \cap D_{K_2}$.

[3] with this terminology, the assumption of lemma 3.1 is that the trace of $\mathcal{A}^0$ is dependent generic arrangement



FIGURE 1.

□

If $s = 2$ in Lemma 3.1 then $H_{\infty,i,j}$ are points in the 2 dimensional space $\mathbb{P}^2$ and condition to span a proper subspace in H_∞, i.e. being co-linear points, corresponds to the case of Falk's example of special discriminantal arrangement in [7]. We shall illustrate the argument in Lemma 3.1 in this particular case.

Example 3.3. *Let consider the case $n = 6$ and $k = 3$, that is generic arrangement $\mathcal{A}^0 = \{H_1^0, \dots, H_6^0\}$ in $\mathbb{C}^3$. In Lemma 3.1 this corresponds to $s = 2$ and, after possible relabelling, $K_1 = (1, 2, 3, 4), K_2 = (3, 4, 5, 6), K_3 = (1, 2, 5, 6)$. Then subspaces $L_{i,j} = \bigcap_{s \in K_i \cap K_j} H_s^0$ are lines $L_{1,3} = H_1^0 \cap H_2^0, L_{1,2} = H_3^0 \cap H_4^0, L_{2,3} = H_5^0 \cap H_6^0$. In this case i.e. when $dim H_\infty = 2$ the assertion of Lemma 3.1 is that the points $H_{\infty,i,j} = L_{i,j} \cap H_\infty$ span a line l in H_∞ i.e. the points $H_{\infty,i,j}$ are collinear if and only if $dim_{i=1,2,3} \bigcap D_{K_i} = 4$ (see Figure 1).*

An arrangement $\mathcal{A} = \{H_1^{t_1}, \dots, H_6^{t_6}\}$ of translates of planes from $\mathcal{A}^0$ is a point in $D_{K_1} \cap D_{K_2}$ iff pairwise intersections in $\mathbb{C}^4$ of lines $l_{1,3} = H_1^{t_1} \cap H_2^{t_2}, l_{1,2} = H_3^{t_3} \cap H_4^{t_4}$ and $l_{2,3} = H_5^{t_5} \cap H_6^{t_6}$ are non empty. We claim that colinearity condition implies that these three lines belong to the same plane. Indeed, since $\mathcal{A}$ consisits of translates

of planes in $\mathcal{A}^0$ line $l_{i,j}$ has same point at infinity $H_{\infty,i,j}$ as does the line $L_{i,j}$. Condition that $H_{\infty,i,j}$ span a line $l \in H_\infty$ implies that any plane containing two lines $l_{i,j}$ intersects H_∞ in l. That is two planes containing above pairs of lines $l_{i,j}$ are coincident. This implies that lines $l_{1,2}$ and $l_{2,3}$ have non-empty intersection i.e. $\bigcap_{i=1,2,5,6} H_i^0 \neq \emptyset$ and hence $\mathcal{A} \in D_{K_3}$.

Vice versa, if points $H_{\infty,i,j}$ aren't colinear then it is possible to find configurations in which, for example, $l_{1,3}$ intersects both $l_{1,2}$ and $l_{2,3}$, but $l_{1,2} \cap l_{2,3} = \emptyset$, i.e. $\mathcal{A} \in D_{K_1} \cap D_{K_3}$ and $\mathcal{A} \notin D_{K_2}$.

Via restriction of arrangements, the lemma 3.1 leads to other examples of discriminantal arrangements having codimension two strata with multiplicity 3.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{A}^0$ be a generic arrangement in $\mathbb{C}^k$ such that its trace at infinity $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ contains t hyperplanes $H_{\infty,1}, ..., H_{\infty,t}$ for which the restriction of $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ on $H_{\infty,1} \cap ... \cap H_{\infty,t}$ is a dependent (cf. Def. 3.2) arrangement of $3s = n - t$ hyperplanes. Then $\mathcal{B}(n, k, \mathcal{A}_\infty)$ admits a codimension two stratum of multiplicity 3.*

Proof. It follows from lemma 3.1 that $\mathcal{A}^0$ contains collection of hyperplanes $H_1, ..., H_t$ such that the discriminantal arrangement in $H_1 \cap ... \cap H_t$ corresponding to intersection of the trace of $\mathcal{A}$ with $H_{\infty,1} \cap ... \cap H_{\infty,t}$ admits a codimension 2 stratum having multiplicity 3. The dimension of this stratum is $3s - 2$ where $n - t = 3s$ and $k - t = 2s - 1$. Let $K_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ be subsets of $[t + 1, ..., n]$ with the property as in lemma 3.1. In particular $\mathrm{Card} K_i = 2s = k - t + 1$. The above $3s - 2$ dimensional stratum of discriminantal arrangement of $n - t$ hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}^{k-t}$ is the transversal intersection of two submanifolds of $\mathbb{C}^n$. One is the stratum of discriminantal arrangement $\mathcal{B}(n, k, \mathcal{A}_\infty)$ having dimension $3s - 2 + t$ formed by hyperplanes $D_{K_i \cup [1,...,t]}, i = 1, 2, 3$, and another is the intersection of t hyperplanes in $\mathbb{S}(H_1^0, ..., H_n^0)$ defined by vanishing of coordinates corresponding to $H_1^0, ..., H_t^0$. Hence the multiplicity of this stratum of $\mathcal{B}(n, k, \mathcal{A}_\infty)$ equals 3. This yields the lemma. □

Corollary 3.5. *If $k \geq 3$ and $n \geq k+3$ then there exists a generic arrangement of n hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}^k$ such that the corresponding discriminantal arrangement admits a codimension two stratum of multiplicity 3.*

Proof. Lemma 3.4 implies that for a pair (n, k) such that exist integers $t \geq 0, s \geq 2$ satisfying

$$n = 3s + t \qquad k = 2s - 1 + t \tag{8}$$

there exists a generic arrangement $\mathcal{A}^0$ of n hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}^k$ such that restriction of trace $H_\infty \cap \mathcal{A}^0$ of $\mathcal{A}^0$ on intersection of its t hyperplanes is dependent. By Lemma 3.4 the discriminantal arrangement corresponding to such $\mathcal{A}$ will admit required stratum. Given $(n, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, the relation (8) has unique solution $s = n - k - 1, t = 3k - 2n + 3$ which satisfies $s \geq 2, t \geq 0$ iff

$$k + 3 \leq n \leq \frac{3}{2}(k + 1), \quad k \geq 3 \tag{9}$$

Given an arrangement $\mathcal{B}(n, k, \mathcal{A}_\infty)$ admitting codimension 2 strata of multiplicity 3, extension of $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ to arrangement of $N \geq n$ hyperplanes by adding sufficiently generic hyperplanes yields an arrangement $\mathcal{A}'_\infty$ such that $\mathcal{B}(N, k, \mathcal{A}'_\infty)$ contains $\mathcal{B}(n, k, \mathcal{A}_\infty)$ as a subarrangement and hence admits strata of codimension 2 and multiplicity 3. On the other hand, for $n = k + 2$, $\mathcal{B}(k + 2, k, \mathcal{A}_\infty)$ has only one

stratum of multiplicity $k+2$ i.e. the inequality $n \neq k+3$ is sharp. Case $k=2$ considered in section 5. □

An example, illustrating above two lemmas as well as further cases, is the following.

Example 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{A}_\infty = \bigcup H_{\infty,i}, i = 1,...,8$ be generic arrangmenent in $\mathbb{P}^4$. $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ defines the arrangement of lines $H_{\infty,i} \cap H_{\infty,7} \cap H_{\infty,8}, i = 1,...,6$ in the plane $H_{\infty,7} \cap H_{\infty,8}$. The induced arrangement $\mathcal{A}_\infty|_{H_{\infty,7}\cap H_{\infty,8}}$ is generic since $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ is.*

Assume that the double points $H_{\infty,1}\cap H_{\infty,2}\cap H_{\infty,7}\cap H_{\infty,8}$, $H_{\infty,3}\cap H_{\infty,4}\cap H_{\infty,7}\cap H_{\infty,8}$, $H_{\infty,5} \cap H_{\infty,6} \cap H_{\infty,7} \cap H_{\infty,8}$ are collinear.

Consider the hyperplanes

$$D_{1,2,3,4,7,8}, D_{3,4,5,6,7,8}, D_{1,2,5,6,7,8}$$

in discriminantal arrangement $\mathcal{B}(8,5)$ corresponding to such $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ and the hyperplanes $D'_{1,2,3,4}, D'_{3,4,5,6}, D'_{1,2,5,6}$ in the discriminantal arrangement in 3-space $H_7 \cap H_8$ for a generic choice of hyperplanes H_7, H_8 intersecting the hyperplane at infinity at $H_{\infty,7}, H_{\infty,8}$ respectively. Then arrangement of 6 hyperlanes in $\mathbb{C}^5$ including H_7, H_8 has a common point if and only if the arrangement of 4 planes in 3-space $H_7 \cap H_8$ has a common point. Hence

(10)

$$dim D_{1,2,3,4,7,8} \cap D_{3,4,5,6,7,8} \cap D_{1,2,5,6,7,8} = 2 + dim D'_{1,2,3,4} \cap D'_{3,4,5,6} \cap D'_{1,2,5,6} = 6$$

(the last equality uses the Example 3.3). Hence the discriminantal arrangement with such arrangement $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ has a codimension two stratum of multiplicity 3.
This case illustrates the case considered in Theorem 3.8 (2) $t = 2$ below, corresponding to hyperplanes $H_{\infty,7}, H_{\infty,8}$, the induced arrangement in $\mathbb{P}^{5-2-1}$ given by hyperplanes $H_{\infty,i} \cap H_{\infty,7} \cap H_{\infty,8}$, $i = 1,\dots,6$ and $s = 2$.

The next Lemma will be useful to prove the absence of codimension 2 strata of multiplicity 4.

Lemma 3.7. *For $s \geq 2$, there is no quadruple of subspaces $V_i \subset \mathbb{P}^{3s-2}, i = 1,2,3,4$ having dimension $2s-2$ such that intersection of each V_i with each of remaining 3 consists of 3 subspaces $P_{i,j} = V_i \cap V_j, i \neq j$ of V_i and such that*

a) each $P_{i,j}$ has dimension $s-2$
b) any pair $P_{i,j}, P_{i,k}, i \neq j \neq k$ spans a hyperplane in V_i [4] and
c) all three, $P_{i,j}, P_{i,k}, P_{i,l}$ belong to a hyperplane in V_i.

Proof. We shall start with the case $s = 2$. Assume that a configuration as in lemma does exist and consider a quadruple of planes $V_i, i = 1,...,4$ in $\mathbb{P}^4$ such that

a) any two intersect at a single point,
b) all 6 points $P_{i,j} = V_i \cap V_j, P_{i,j} = P_{j,i}$ obtained in this way are distinct, and
c) the intersection points of any plane V_i with remaining 3 are colinear.

For a fixed k, the triple of points $P_{i,j}, i \neq j \neq k$ outside of V_k, determines the triple of lines $L_i \subset V_i, i \neq k$ spanned by points $P_{i,j}, P_{i,l}, i \neq j \neq l \neq k$. These lines $L_i, i \neq k$ by their definition are pairwise concurrent ($L_i \cap L_j = P_{i,j}$) and hence belong to a plane H. By assumption c), the plane V_k intersects $V_i, i \neq k$ at a point on the line L_i distinct from $P_{i,j}, P_{i,k}, i \neq j \neq k$ i.e. having a common point with

[4] dimension of the span of $(s-2)$ dimensional subspaces $P_{i,j}$ and $P_{i,k}$ in $2s-2$ dimensional space V_i does not exceed $2s-3$.

H on the line L_i. Hence H and V_k have 3 distinct non-colinear points in common and therefore $H = V_k$ but this contradict to $dim\ V_k \cap V_i = 0$.

Now consider the case $s > 2$. Similarly to above, $s-2$ dimensional subspaces $P_{i,j} = V_i \cap V_j$ of $\mathbb{P}^{3s-2}$ determine the subspaces $L_i \subset V_i, i \neq k$ (for a fixed k) each being spanned by pairs $P_{i,j}, P_{i,l}, i, j, l \neq k$ which are outside of V_k. Each L_i is a hyperplane in V_i (i.e. $dim\ L_i = 2s-3$). Moreover, the dimension of the subspace H of $\mathbb{P}^{3s-2}$ spanned by $L_{i,j}, L_{i,l}, i, j, l \neq k$, is $3s-4$. H can be described as the subspace of $\mathbb{P}^{3s-2}$ spanned by triple of subspaces $P_{i,j}, i, j \neq k$. Now by our assumption c), V_k contains an $s-2$ dimensional subspace of $L_{i,j}, i, j \neq k$ i.e. $P_{i,k}$. The subspace hence is also a subspace of H. This implies that $V_k \subset H$. The dimension of intersection $L_{i,j}$ and V_k which are both subspaces of H is $(2s-3)+(2s-2)-(3s-4) = s-1$ and hence $dim\ V_i \cap V_k = s-1$ which is a contradiction.

□

Now we are ready for the main result of this section. It describes the codimension 2 strata of discriminantal arrangements having multiplicity 3 and shows absence of codimension 2 strata having multiplicity 4 (with obvious exceptions).

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{A}^0_\infty$ be generic arrangement of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^{k-1}$.*

1. The arrangement $\mathcal{B}(n,k,\mathcal{A}^0_\infty)$ has $\binom{n}{k+2}$ codimension 2 strata of multiplicity $k+2$.

2. There is one to one correspondence between

a) triples of dependent subspaces which are intersections of hyperplanes of restriction of a subarrangement of $\mathcal{A}^0_\infty$ onto an intersection of hyperplanes of $\mathcal{A}^0_\infty$ and

b) triples of hyperplanes in $\mathcal{B}(n,k,\mathcal{A}^0_\infty)$ for which the codimension of their intersection is equal to 2.

(Recall that existence of dependent arrangement which is a restriction of a subarrangement of $\mathcal{A}^0_\infty$ to intersection of hyperplanes in $\mathcal{A}^0_\infty$ mentioned in a) means that there are hyperplanes $H^0_{i_1}, ..., H^0_{i_t}$ in $\mathcal{A}^0_\infty$ such that $k-1-t = 2s-2$ and there are $3s$ hyperplanes in $\mathcal{A}^0_\infty$ intersections of which with $\bigcup_{j=1}^t H^0_{i_j}$ give arrangement which is dependent in the sense of definition 3.2.)

3. There are no codimension 2 strata having multiplicity 4 unless $k = 3$. All codimension 2 strata of $\mathcal{B}(n,k,\mathcal{A}^0_\infty)$ not listed in part 1, have multiplicity either 2 or 3 (the latter corresponding to triples of hyperplanes in b).

4. Combinatorial type of $\mathcal{B}(n,2,\mathcal{A}^0_\infty)$ is independent of $\mathcal{A}^0$.

Proof of Theorem 3.8. The statement (1) follows immediately from discussion after (6) in section 2.2. If $J \subset \{1, ..., n\}$ is a subset of cardinality $k+2$, then D_J is a codimension 2 subspace in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and belongs to $k+2$ hyperplanes $D_K, K \subset J$.

Next we shall determine the conditions on the sets $K_i, K_j, K_l, i \neq j \neq l$ (and the geometry of the corresponding strata in $\mathcal{A}^0_\infty$) under which $\mathrm{Codim} D_{K_i} \cap D_{K_j} \cap D_{K_l} = 2$.

Consider first the case when sets K_i, K_j, K_l, each having cardinality $k+1$, are such that for one of the them, say K_i, one has $K_i \setminus (K_i \cap (K_j \cup K_l)) \neq \emptyset, i \neq j \neq l$ i.e. one of the set in this triple is not in the union of other two. The dimension of the space of arrangements in $D_{K_j} \cap D_{K_l}$ is $n-2$ since $K_j \neq K_l$. If $r \in K_i \setminus (K_i \cap (K_j \cup K_l))$, then hyperplanes in an arrangement $a \in D_{K_i} \cap D_{K_j} \cap D_{K_l}$ with indices different from r or from indices in $K_j \cap K_l$ can be chosen as arbitrary

parallel translates of hyperplanes in $\mathcal{A}^0$, while H_r is fixed by condition a being in D_{K_i}. Hence $D_{K_i} \cap D_{K_j} \cap D_{K_l} \neq D_{K_j} \cap D_{K_l}$ i.e. $codim D_{K_i} \cap D_{K_j} \cap D_{K_l} = 3$.

From now on we shall assume that the triple K_i, K_j, K_l is such that

$$K_i = (K_i \cap K_j) \bigcup (K_i \cap K_l) \tag{11}$$

as well as for any permutation of (i,j,k). Next let $L_{\alpha,\beta} = (K_\alpha \cap K_\beta) \setminus \bigcap_{\alpha=i,j,k} K_\alpha, t = \mathrm{Card} \bigcap_{\alpha=i,j,k} K_\alpha, l_{\alpha,\beta} = \mathrm{Card} L_{\alpha,\beta}$. Then (11) implies that $K_\beta \setminus \bigcap_{\alpha=i,j,k} K_\alpha = L_{\alpha,\beta} \bigcup L_{\beta,\gamma}$ and since $Card K_i = k+1$ we have

$$l_{\alpha,\beta} + l_{\beta,\gamma} + t = k+1, \quad \alpha \neq \beta \neq \gamma \tag{12}$$

Using these relations for allowable permutations of subscripts, yields:

$$l_{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{k+1-t}{2} \quad \alpha \neq \beta, \alpha, \beta \in \{i,j,k\} \tag{13}$$

For a triple of subsets $K_i, K_j, K_l, \mathrm{Card} K_i \cap K_j \cap K_l = t$ and the fixed arrangement $\mathcal{A}^0_\infty$ at infinity, consider the map

$$\mathbb{S}(H^0_1, ..., H^0_n) \to \mathbb{C}^t = \mathbb{S}(..., H^0_r, ...) \quad r \in K_i \cap K_j \cap K_l$$

having

$$\mathbb{S}(..., H^0_\alpha \cap (\bigcap_{\beta \in K_i \cap K_j \cap K_l} H^{t_\beta}_\beta), ...), \qquad \alpha \in [1, ..., n] \setminus K_i \cap K_j \cap K_l$$

as its fiber and which assignes to a collection of n parallel translates $H^{t_1}_1, ..., H^{t_n}_n$ of $H^0_1, ..., H^0_n$ in $\mathbb{C}^k$, the intersections of the hyperplanes with indices outside of $K_i \cap K_j \cap K_l$ with the linear subspace which is intersection of t hyperplanes with indices in $K_i \cap K_j \cap K_l$. If s is the dimension of the family of arrangements which is intersection of hyperplanes $D_{K_\alpha}, \alpha = i,j,l$ then the dimension of induced on $\mathbb{C}^{k-t}$ family is $s-t$. Hence

$$\mathrm{Codim} D_{K_i} \cap D_{K_j} \cap D_{K_l} = \mathrm{Codim} D_{K_i \setminus \bigcap K_\alpha} \cap D_{K_j \setminus \bigcap K_\alpha} \cap D_{K_l \setminus \bigcap K_\alpha} \quad \alpha = i,j,l \tag{14}$$

where the intersection on the right is taken in the space of parallel translates in $\bigcap_{j \in \bigcap K_i} H_j$.

Clearly $t < k$ and in the case when $t = k-1$ we have $l_{i,j} = 1$ i.e. $Card \bigcup K_i = k+2$ and we are in the case (1) i.e. the codimension 2 stratum has multiplicity $k+2$. If $t = 0, t < k-1$ then we have the case considered in Lemma 3.1 and we also have by this lemma that intersection of $D_{K_i}, i = 1,2,3$ has codimension two if and only if the assumptions of the theorem are fulfilled. The rest of the part (2) of the theorem follows from lemma 3.4 for restriction on $\bigcap_{\alpha \in K_i \cap K_j \cap K_l} H_\alpha$ and relation (14) (with $s = l_{\alpha,\beta}$).

Now consider the existence of codimension 2 strata of multiplicity 4. Suppose that such stratum exists and $K_i, i = 1, ..., 4$ are the corresponding subsets of $\{1, ..., n\}$. By quadruples analog of restriction (14) it is enough to consider the case $\bigcap_{i=1,...,4} K_i = \emptyset$. Let $l_{i,j,m} = Card K_i \cap K_j \cap K_m$. Then for any i, $Card K_i \cup \bigcap_{j \neq i} K_j = Card \bigcup K_i$ i.e. $l_{i,j,m} + k + 1$ is independent of (i,j,m) and hence one infers from (12) the relation $l_{i,j,m} = \frac{k+1}{3}$.

Note that codim $\bigcap_{i=1,...,4} D_{K_i} = 2$ if and only if codim $D_{K_{i_1}} \cap D_{K_{i_2}} \cap D_{K_{i_3}} = 2$ for all 4 triple $1 \leq i_j \leq 4$ of distinct integers. Applying part (2) of the theorem to each triple i_1, i_2, i_3 one infers existence of quadruple of subspaces as in Lemma 3.7. Hence this lemma implies the part (3).

The part (4) of theorem 3.8 is content of section 5.

□

Corollary 3.9. *If discriminantal arrangement $\mathcal{B}(n,k,\mathcal{A}_\infty)$ satisfies $n > \frac{3}{2}(k+1)$ and admits a codimension 2 stratum of multiplicity 3 then there exists proper subarrangement $\mathcal{A}'_\infty \subset \mathcal{A}_\infty$ such that $\mathcal{B}(n,k,\mathcal{A}'_\infty)$ admits codimension 2 stratum of multiplicity 3.*

Proof. It follows immediately from the above theorem and inequality (9). □

3.1. **Numerology of singularities of generic plane sections.** Theorem 3.8 contains complete description of combinatorics of codimension 2 strata of discriminantal arrangements. Indeed the possible multiplicities of codimension two strata of $\mathcal{B}(n,k)$ are $\binom{k+2}{k+1}$, 3 and 2. The number of points of multiplicity 3 is the number of triples of strata satisfying condition *2a)*. As one of applications, this allows to describe fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{S} \setminus \mathcal{B}(n,k))$ of he complement to discriminantal arrangement (cf. section 6.2). It is an interesting problem to determine the nunber of triple points which $\mathcal{B}(n,k,\mathcal{A}_\infty)$ can have. It is clear from Theorem 3.8 that this number can be arbitrary large when $n \to \infty$ though even precise asymptotic is not clear.

4. Gale transform and codimension two strata

4.1. **Gale transform and associated sets.** In this subsection we shall discuss interpretation of discriminantal arrangements using Gale transform. Recall the following

Definition 4.1. *Let V be a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$, $dimV = k$, $l_i \in V^*, i = 1,...,n$ be n vectors in the dual of the vector space V and let*

$$0 \to V \xrightarrow{L} \mathbb{C}^n \to W \to 0 \tag{15}$$

be the exact sequence in which $L(v) = (l_1(v),...,l_n(v))$. Gale transform of collection l_i is the collection $m_i \in W, i = 1,...,n$ of images of the vectors e_i of standard basis in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

The argument for the following essentially is contained in [7] (see also [19] and [4])

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be central generic arrangement of $\mathrm{Card}\mathcal{A} = n$ in V, $\dim V = k$, and l_i be the elements in V^* corresponding to the hyperplanes in $\mathcal{A}$. The essential part of the discriminantal arrangement consists of hyperplanes in W spanned by collections of $n-k-1$ points of the Gale transform of points $l_i \in V^*$*

Proof. Let $f_1,...,f_k$ be a basis in V, $x_j, j = 1,...,k$ be the coordinates in this basis and let $l_i = \sum a^i_j x_j, j = 1,...,k, i = 1,...,n$ be the equations of the hyperplanes of $\mathcal{A}$. Denote by $A = \{a^i_j\}$ the corresponding matrix. Translates of hyperplanes $l_{i_1} = 0,...,l_{i_{k+1}} = 0$, by $c_{i_1},...,c_{i_s}$ respectively, have non-empty intersection if and only if the system of equations $\sum a^{i_s}_j x_j = c_{i_s}, s = 1,...,k+1$ has a a solution. This takes place if and only if the projection $\pi_{i_1,...,i_{k+1}}$ of the point $c = (c_1,\dots,c_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ on the subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$ spanned by the vectors $e_{i_1},...,e_{i_{k+1}}$ belongs to the projection $\pi_{i_1,...,i_{k+1}}(L(V))$ of $L(V)$ which is equivalent to

$$c \in H_{\pi_{i_1,\dots,i_{k+1}}} \simeq Span(V, Ker_{\pi_{i_1,\dots,i_{k+1}}}) \tag{16}$$

The hyperplanes $H_{\pi_{i_1,\dots,i_{k+1}}}$ form the discriminantal arrangement in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and the relation $V \subset H_{\pi_{i_1,\dots,i_{k+1}}}$ shows that the essential part of discriminantal arrangement is the induced arrangement in $W = \mathbb{C}^n/V$. The inclusion (16) is equivalent to $c \in Ker_{\pi_{i_1,\dots,i_{k+1}}} mod V$. The image $Ker_{\pi_{i_1,\dots,i_{k+1}}} \in \mathbb{C}^n/V = W$ is spanned by the images of the Gale transform of $l_i, i = 1, ..., n$ and is the hyperplane in the essential part of discriminantal arrangement. □

Next recall the classical notion of associated sets (cf. [6], Ch.III):

Definition 4.3. *Let V,W be vector spaces,* $\dim V = k, \dim W = n-k$, *$f_1, ..., k_k$ and $g_1, ..., g_{n-k}$ be basis of V, W respectively. The set of vectors $l_1, ..., l_n$ V and $m_1, ..., m_n$ in W are called associated if the matrices X and Y of coordinates of $l_i, i = 1, ..., n$ and $m_j, j = 1, ..., n-k$ satisfy:*

$$X \cdot \Lambda \cdot {}^t Y = 0 \tag{17}$$

where Λ is a diagonal matrix.

The sets in V and W are associated if and only if one is the Gale transform of another (cf. for example discussion in [6] p.33 where association is discussed in projective setting).

4.2. **Discriminantal arrangements of planes in $\mathbb{C}^3$ and Gale transform.** One can ask for the meaning of codimension 2 strata with multiplicity three in discriminantal arrangements described in the Theorem 3.8 in terms of Gale transform. In the case, $n = 6, k = 3$ one has a geometric interpretation (see [6] for geometric interpretations for some other values n, k) of Gale transform which allows to show the following:

Proposition 4.4. *Existence of partition of 6-tuples of points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ into 3 pairs, each pair defining a line and such that these lines are concurrent lines is an invariant of Gale transform.*

Remark 4.5. *This proposition is equivalent (after replacing hyperplanes by the points of projective dual) to the case $n = 6, k = 3$ of the main theorem (cf. also Example 3.3). This equivalence follows from the classical description of Gale transform recalled in the proof below. More general case considered in the Lemma 3.1 can be interpreted as follows: Gale transform $(\mathbb{P}^{2s-2})^{\times 3s} \to (\mathbb{P}^s)^{\times 3s}$ has the following property. The condition that there is partition of $3s$ points in $\mathbb{P}^s$ into 3 groups of s points, each set spanning hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^s$ such that these hyperplanes belong to a pencil is equivalent to the Gale transform of these sets of points in $\mathbb{P}^{2s-2}$ admit partition into 3 groups such that spanned hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^{2s-2}$ are part of a pencil. This restatement is immediate from dualizaton of hyperplanes of generic arrangement. It would be interesting to have geometric description of Gale transform allowing to show this directly for $s > 2$.*

Proof. We shall use projective setting which in this case relates 6-tuples of points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ to another 6-tuples in another copy of $\mathbb{P}^2$. Recall that smooth cubic surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^3$ (i.e. the del Pezzo surfaces of degree 3) can be viewed as blow ups of a 6-tuples of points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and the classes of projective equivalence of 6-tuples of points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ correspond to isomorphism classes of cubic surfaces. The 6-tuple of points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ is obtained by contracting 6 of 27 lines pairwise having empty intersection. In terms of blow up of 6 points in $\mathbb{P}^2$, each of 27 lines is one of the following:

1. 6 exceptional curves of the blow up.
2. proper preimages 15 lines defined by pairs of points
3. proper preimages of 6 quadrics determined by a 5 points subset of blown up 6-tuple.

6-tuples of lines as above on a cubic surface V correspond to the following homology classes in $H^2(V,\mathbb{Z})$:

$$h_i, i = 1, ..., 6 \qquad (h_i, h_j) = -\delta^i_j \quad . \tag{18}$$

Given such 6-tuple h_i, one has unique additional 6-tuple h'_i characterized by the following: together with h_i the collection h'_i form a double six i.e. satisfies

$$h_i h_j = h'_i h'_j = -\delta^i_j, \qquad h_i h'_j = 1 - \delta^i_j \quad . \tag{19}$$

In terms of lines and quadrics on $\mathbb{P}^2$ corresponding to the lines on del Pezzo surface which is blown up in $\mathbb{P}^2$ at 6 points, the second component h'_i of double six in which the first component h_i, formed by the 6-tuple of exceptional curves, consists of proper preimages of the quadrics labeled in the way assigning to (the class of) exceptional curve h_P contracted to a point $P \in \mathbb{P}^2$ (the class of) the quadric h'_P passing through points of the 6-tuple of points in $\mathbb{P}^2$ distinct from P.

Now existence of partition 6 tuples as in Proposition 4.4 is equivalent to existence of Eckardt point (i.e. a point common to a triple of lines on cubic surface) not involving the exceptional curves and to show Proposition 4.4 one need to show that such Eckardt point exists also for the second component of a double six. But each line containing pair of points on the plane $\mathbf{P}$ obtained by contraction of a 6-tuples of exceptional curves will be passing through a pair of 6 points on the plane $\mathbf{P}'$ obtained by contracting proper preimages of 6 quadrics on $\mathbf{P}$. Indeed, such a line through $P \in \mathbf{P}$ will intersect the proper preimage on blow up of $\mathbf{P}$ of the quadric not containing P at one point (corresponding to the intersection point with this quadric distinct from the blown up point). Since blow up of 6 points and contracting proper preimages of 6 quadrics determined by these 6 points is isomorphism on the complement to quadrics which contains the concurrency point of the triple of lines, the claim follows. □

5. Discriminantal arrangements of lines

The goal of this section is to prove the following

Theorem 5.1. *Let $K_1, ..., K_p$ be a collection of triples of integers $j, 1 \leq j \leq n$ (called indices). For any such K, let D_K denotes the corresponding hyperplane of discriminantal arrangment $\mathcal{B}(n, 2, \mathcal{A}_\infty)$ where $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ is an ordered collection of n points on the line at infinity. The dimension of intersection*

$$D_{K_1} \cap ... \cap D_{K_p} \tag{20}$$

depends only on collection $K_i, i = 1, ..., p$ and not on a choice of set $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ of points on the line at infinity.

The main step in the proof below is an inductive formula for the dimension of intersection (20) which we shall obtain after proving several auxiliary results.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $J_1, ..., J_p$ be a collection of sets of integers $j, 1 \leq j \leq n$. For any set J in this collection , let D_J denotes the subspace which is the intersection of hyperplanes of $\mathcal{B}(n, 2, \mathcal{A}_\infty)$ defined in equation (4). Assume that there exists a*

permutation σ of indices $1,\dots,p$ such that Card $J_{\sigma(i)}\cap J_{\sigma(i+1)}\geq 2$ *for all* $i, 1\leq i<p$, *then* $\bigcap_{i=1}^{p} D_{J_i} = D_{\cup_{i=1}^{p} J_i}$.

Proof. Assume that $J_1,...,J_p$ are already ordered so that Card $J_i\cap J_{i+1}\geq 2$ for any $i, 1\leq i<p-1$. Then an element $a\in D_{J_1}\cap D_{J_2}$ is an arrangement of n lines in $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that $\bigcap_{i\in J_1} H_i\neq\emptyset$ and $\bigcap_{i\in J_2} H_i\neq\emptyset$. Since Card $J_1\cap J_2\geq 2$ it follows that the two intersection has to have two common lines, i.e. $\bigcap_{i\in J_1} H_i = \bigcap_{i\in J_2} H_i = \bigcap_{i\in J_1\cup J_2} H_i$. That is $D_{J_1}\cap D_{J_2} = D_{J_1\cup J_2}$. The general statement for any $p>2$ follows by induction over p. □

Lemma 5.3. *Let $J_i, i=1,...,p$ be collection of subsets of the set of indices $[1,...,n]$ such $CardJ_i\cap J_j\leq 1, 1\leq i,j\leq p$. Let C_1 be the set labeling indices $s\in[1,...,n]$ such that there exist i,j for which $s\in J_i\cap J_j$, $C_2\in[1,...,p]$ be the set labeling the sets J_i such that $J_i\cap J_j=\emptyset$ for $\forall j\neq i, 1\leq j\leq p$, and $C_3 = [1,...,n]\setminus\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} J_i$ be the set labeling the indices $s\in[1,...,n]$ outside of all sets J_i.*

Among the sets J_i, select those which contain at least 3 indices from C_1 and for each such $J_{i_t}, t=1,...,q$, denote by $L(J_{i_t})$ the set $J_{i_t}\cap C_1$. Let $D_{L(J_{i_t})}$ be the subspace in $\mathcal{B}(CardC_1+CardC_2,2)$ corresponding to the collection $L(J_{i_t})$.

If $q>0$, then

$$dim\bigcap_{1}^{p} D_{J_i} = dim\bigcap_{t=1}^{t=q} D_{L(J_{i_t})}+$$

$$\sum_{s\in C_1} Card\{j|s \text{ is unique element in } J_j\} + CardC_2 + CardC_3 \quad . \tag{21}$$

If $q=0$ then one replaces the term $dim\bigcap_{t=1}^{t=q} D_{L(J_{i_t})}$ by summand $CardC_1$ i.e. one has

(22)

$$dim\bigcap_{1}^{p} D_{J_i} = CardC_1 + Card\{j|s \text{ is unique element in } J_j\} + CardC_2 + CardC_3 \quad .$$

Proof. For each index in C_2 labeling a set J_i we shall select an index from $[1,...,n]$ which belong to this set J_i and denote a subset of $[1,...,n]$ obtained in such a way as C_2' (we have $CardC_2 = CardC_2'$).

Selection of the set of indices C_2' and collection of indices in C_1 define arrangement $\mathcal{B}(CardC_1+CardC_2,2)$ in which each hyperplane is the intersection of hyperplanes in $\mathcal{B}(n,2)$ (in the space of tranlates of the lines $l_1,...,l_n$) with the space of translates of lines $l_s, l_{s'}, s\in C_1, s'\in C_2'$, intersecting the line at infinity at the points from $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ (though hyperplanes in $\mathcal{B}(n,2)$ corresponding to the triples containing at most two indices from $C_1\bigcup C_2'$ contain the latter space of translates). There is also projection map, considered in section 2.3, of the space of translates of lines $l_1,...,l_n$ to the space of translates of lines $l_s, l_{s'}, s=1,...,CardC_1, s'=1,...,CardC_2$, obtained by disregarding the indices outside of $C_1\cup C_2'$. Via restriction to the strata, the latter induces the map of the stratum of $\mathcal{B}(n,2)$ dense in $\bigcap D_{J_i}$ to the stratum $\mathcal{B}(CardC_1+CardC_2,2)$ dense in $\bigcap D_{L(J_{i_t})}$ as follows from definition of sets $L(J_{i_t})$ (cf. also discussion in section 2.3). In the case when this stratum is empty i.e. $q=0$ the image of stratum dense in $\bigcap D_{J_i}$ has as the image a dense subset of the space translates of lines $l_s, l_{s'}, s=1,...,CardC_1, s'=1,...,CardC_2$.

For this projection map, the intersection of preimage of generic point in the stratum with the stratum dense in $\bigcap_1^p D_{J_i}$, consists of the family Ψ of arrangements

in $\bigcap_1^p D_{J_i}$ with lines having the indices in $C_1 \cup C_2'$ being fixed. We want to calculate the dimension of Ψ. For a set of indices J_j and arrangement in D_{J_j} we denote by $P(J_j)$ the point common to the lines of this arrangement. Each line in the family of arrangements Ψ falls in one of the following four groups: its index either belongs to a set $J_j, j = 1, ..., p$ containing more than one $s \in C_1$, or belong to J_j containing only one $s \in C_1$, belong to J_j not containing $s \in C_1$ or does not belong to any $J_j, j = 1, ..., p$.

In the first case, such line l_i in Ψ must belong to intersection of two fixed lines from $\mathcal{B}(CardC_1, 2)$ i.e. is the same for any arrangement in the family Ψ and hence does not contribute to the dimension of the fiber. In the second case, l_i must contain the point $P(J_i)$ common to the lines with indices in J_j and this point can be arbitrary point in the line l_s corresponding to the index s (i.e. the only index in $C_1 \cap C_2'$ from this J_j) i.e. selection of points $P(J_j)$ specifies all lines with indices in J_j belonging to $\bigcap D_{J_i}$ and contributes the second summand to the dimension of the fiber. In the third case the choice of points $P(J_j) \in l_i, i \in C_2'$ can be arbitrary within this line and specifies the choice of all lines in J_j through this point and contributes the third summand to the dimension of the fiber. Finally, the lines l with indices outside of all sets J_i can be arbitrary (i.e. contributing $CardC_3$ to the dimension of the fiber). This yields the above identity. □

Example 5.4. *Let $n = 9, p = 4, CardJ_i = 3, i = 1, ..., 4$ and $CardJ_1 \cap J_2 = CardJ_1 \cap J_3 = CardJ_1 \cap J_4 = 1, CardJ_i \cap J_{i'} = 0, i \neq i' > 1$ (i.e. in particular J_1 intersects the rest in distinct elements). Then $Card \bigcup J_i = 9$, $q = 1$, $CardC_1 = 3, CardC_2 = 0$, $dim D_{L(J_{i_t})} = 2$, the second summand in the formula (5.3) is equal to 3 and the rest are zeros. Hence the right hand side is equal to 5 i.e the codimension of intersection $\bigcap_1^p D_{J_i}$ is equal to 4.*

Example 5.5. *Let $p = 2, CardJ_1 \cap J_2 = 1, CardJ_i = 3, i = 1, 2$. Then $CardC_1 = 1, Card\{j | s$ is unique element in $J_j\} = 2, CardC_3 = n - 5$. Hence the formula (22) yields $codim \bigcap_1^p D_{J_i} = 2$.*

Proof. (of the theorem 5.1) By Lemma 5.3, giving the combinatorial expression of the dimension of the stratum given as an intersection of subspaces, in order to prove that the intersection lattice of discriminantal arrangement $\mathcal{B}(2, n, \mathcal{A}_\infty)$ doesn't depend on the trace at infinity $\mathcal{A}_\infty$, we need only to prove that for any intersection $\bigcap_1^p D_{K_i}$ of hyperplanes in $\mathcal{B}(2, n, \mathcal{A}_\infty)$, it is possible to build a subdivision $\{J_1, \ldots, J_r\}$ of the set of indices in $\bigcup_1^p K_i$ such that

$$\bigcap_1^p D_{K_i} = \bigcap_1^r D_{J_j} \tag{23}$$

and Card$J_j \cap J_{j'} < 2$ for any couple of indices $1 \leq j \neq j' \leq r$.

To this end, consider the following series of steps starting with the collection $\mathcal{G}_0 = K_1, ..., K_p$. i.e. assuming that we have a collection $\mathcal{G}_r = \{J_1^r, ..., J_{h_r}^r\}$ iteratively construct the set $\mathcal{G}_{r+1}$ as follows. Let $h_0 = p$ and for $r \geq 0$ let $P_r \in \mathcal{P}([h_r])$ a partition of the set of integers $[h_r] = \{1, \ldots, h_r\}$ such that for any subset $I \in P_r$ and $J_i, J_h \in \mathcal{G}_r$ the following conditions hold:

(1) Card $J_i \cap J_h \geq 2$, for any $i, h \in I, i \neq j$,
(2) Card $J_i \cap J_h < 2$ for any $h \in I'$ and $I' \neq I$.

Define

$$\mathcal{G}_{r+1} = \{\cup_{i \in I} J_i \mid I \in P_r \text{ and } J_i \in \mathcal{G}_r\}.$$

Since indices are in a finite set of cardinality n the algorithm will end up in a set $\mathcal{G}$ such that for any two subsets $J_i, J_j \in \mathcal{G}$, Card $J_i \cap J_h < 2$. Applying Lemma 5.2 we obtain $dim \bigcap_{J_i \in \mathcal{G}_r} D_{J_i} = dim \bigcap_{J_i \in \mathcal{G}_{r+1}} D_{J_i}$ and Theorem 5.1 follows. Remark that construction of $\mathcal{G}_r$ is not unique. □

6. Fundamental groups of the complements to discriminantal arrangements and spaces of generic arrangements

6.1. **Nilpotent completion of the fundamental group.** In this section we shall describe the nilpotent completion of $\pi_1(MS(k,n,\mathcal{A}_\infty))$ in the case when $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ is not sufficiently generic and corresponding discriminantal arrangment admits codimension two strata of multiplicity 3. This is a direct consequence of [11] Prop.2.2 (cf. also [15]).

Proposition 6.1. *Completion of the group ring $\mathbb{C}[\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathcal{B}(n,k,\mathcal{A}_\infty))]$ with respect to the powers of the augmentation ideals is the quotient of the algebra of non-commutative power series $\mathbb{C} << X_J >>, J \in C(n,k+1)$ by the two sided ideal generated by relations*

(i) $[X_J, \sum_I X_I]$ for a pair of subsets $J \subset K, CardK = k+2, CardJ = k+1$, $J \subset K$ in $[1,...,n]$ with summation over $I \subset K, CardI = k+1$.

(ii) $[X_J, X_I + X_J + X_K]$ where I, J, K are subscripts correspond to triples of hyperplanes in discriminantal arrangement for such that corresponding hyperplanes in $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ satisfy dependency condition of theorem 3.8 (2a).

(iii) $[X_J, X_K]$ for any pair of sets with $CardJ, K \geq k+3$ and such that does not exist subset I such that triple I, J, K satisfies the conditions of theorem 3.8 (2a).

6.2. **Braid monodromy of discriminantal arrangements and $\pi_1(\mathbb{S} \setminus \mathcal{B}(n,k))$.** We shall describe the fundamental group of the complement to discriminantal arrangement. In fact, we shall obtain the braid monodromy of the generic plane section of discriminantal arrangement, which by classical van Kampen procedure yields the presentation of the fundamental group.

We describe the braid monodromy of generic section of $\mathcal{B}(n,k)$ in terms of collection of orderings of hyperplanes of $\mathcal{B}(n,k)$ constructed in terms of equations (2) of generic arrangement $\mathcal{A}^0$ of hyperplanes $H^0_j, j = 1,...,n$ as follows. Generic plane section of $\mathcal{B}(n,k,\mathcal{A}^0_\infty)$ can be described as subset of $\mathbb{C}^2$ with coordinates (s,t) depending on a choice of generic a^n, b^n, c^n (specifying the plane section) consisting of points (s,t) such that the rank of the $(k+1) \times n$ matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha^1_1 & ... & \alpha^1_k & a^1t + b^1s + c^1 \\ ... & ... & ... & ... \\ \alpha^n_1 & ... & \alpha^n_k & a^nt + b^ns + c^n \end{pmatrix} \tag{24}$$

is maximal. This plane is given in $\mathbb{S}$ by

$$x_i = a^it + b^is + c^i \quad . \tag{25}$$

For fixed $\alpha^i_j, a^i, b^i, c^i, i = 1,...,n, j = 1,...,k$ and generic (t,s) the rank of this matrix is $k+1$. For generic fixed s there is finite collection $t_1(s) < ... < t_{\binom{n}{k+1}}(s)$ of real numbers such that the rank of (24) is k: each $t_i(s)$ corresponds to a $k+1$ subset of $[1,...,n]$ labeling the hyperplane in $\mathcal{B}(n,k)$. Moreover there will be finite collection of real numbers $s_1 < ... < s_N, N \geq \binom{n}{k+2}$ such that for these s there will be strictly less than $\binom{n}{k+1}$ constants t for which the rank of (24) is less than $k+1$.

In fact these values s correspond to projections on the s-coordinate of the multiple points of arrangement of lines induced in the (s,t) plane by $\mathcal{B}(n,k)$. In particular to each s_i corresponds subset P_i in the sequence $1,...,\binom{n}{k+1}$ corresponding to the set of $k+1$-subsets yielding the same value $t(s_i)$. The cardinality of the subset P_i is either $k+2$, 3 or 2 (according to multiplicity of the singular point corresponding to s_i). Recall that to each such P_i, $i=1,...,N$, corresponds the braid β_{P_i} in braid groups on $\binom{n}{k+1}$ strings which is the half twist corresponding to P_i.

Theorem 6.2. *1.Braid monodromy of generic plane section corresponding to section (25) of $\mathcal{B}(n,k,\mathcal{A}^\infty)$ is given by*

$$\Pi_{1\leq k\leq N}\Gamma_i \quad \textit{where} \quad \Gamma_i=\beta_{P_1}^{-1}...\beta_{P_{k-1}}^{-1}\beta_{P_k}^2\beta_{P_{k-1}}...\beta_{P_2}\beta_{P_1} \tag{26}$$

2.The fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^n\setminus\mathcal{B}(n,k,\mathcal{A}^\infty))$ has the following presentation:

$$\Gamma_i(\delta_j)=\delta_j \quad j=1,...,\binom{n}{k+1}, i=1,...,N \tag{27}$$

These results are standard applications results from theory of braid monodromy (cf. [16],[13]). Different presentation can be obtained as application of the Salvetti's one in [20]. For $\mathcal{A}^0$ generic, this yields a presentation equivalent to the one given in [12].

6.3. **Space of generic arrangements.** In what follows we consider the space of generic arrangements:

$$\mathcal{G}(n,k)=\{(H_1,...,H_n)|H_i \text{ hyperplane in } \mathbb{P}^k, \dim\cap_{i\in K,\mathrm{Card}K=k}H_i=0\} \tag{28}$$

and let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(n,k)=\{(\mathcal{H},H_1,...,H_n)|\mathcal{H} \text{ hyperplane in } \mathbb{P}^{k+1}, H_i \text{ hyperplane in } \mathcal{H}, \dim\cap_{i\in K,\mathrm{Card}K=k}H_i=0\} \tag{29}$$

Assigning to a generic arrangement i.e. an ordered collection of hyperplanes in general position the induced generic arrangement in the first hyperplane yields fibration:

$$\mathcal{G}(n,k+1)\to\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(n-1,k) \tag{30}$$

having as a fiber over generic arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ the complement of the discriminantal arrangement in $\mathbb{C}^n$ corresponding to $\mathcal{A}$. It follows from theorem 3.8 that fibration (30) fails to be locally trivial for $k>1$.

Now consider the case $k=1$. We work with the space $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(n,1)$ be space of pairs $(l,H_1,...,H_n)$ where l is a line in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $H_1,...,H_n$ is a collection of distinct points in l. One has a locally trivial fibration:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(n,1)\to\mathbb{P}^{2^*} \tag{31}$$

on the space of lines in $\mathbb{P}^2$ (i.e. the dual space of $\mathbb{P}^2$) with fiber being the configuration space $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{P}^1)$ of ordered n-tuples of points in $\mathbb{P}^1$. Since $PGL(2,\mathbb{C})$ acts transitively on ordered triples of points, one has fibrations for $n\geq 3$:

$$\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{P}^1)\overset{PGL(2,\mathbb{C})}{\longrightarrow}\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0,1\}) \tag{32}$$

where the base of fibration is the configuration space of n points on the complement to two points in $\mathbb{C}$. The exact homotopy sequence of this fibration, a well

known fact that the base of this fibration is an Eilenberg MacLane space ([3]) and $\pi_2(PGL(2,\mathbb{C}))=0$ yield that $\pi_2(\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{P}^1))=0$.

This vanishing and identification $\pi_1(\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{P}^1))$ with the pure braid group of sphere $P_n(\mathbb{P}^1)$, yield the exact sequence:

$$0\to\pi_2(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(n,1))\to\mathbb{Z}\to P_n(\mathbb{P}^1)\to\pi_1(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(n,1))\to 0 \tag{33}$$

as well as isomorphisms

$$\pi_2(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(n,1))=\mathbb{Z},\quad \pi_1(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(n,1))=P_n(\mathbb{P}^1)/(\Delta^2) \tag{34}$$

where Δ^2 is the generator of the center of the pure braid group of sphere. Indeed one has $\pi_2(\mathbb{P}^{2^*})=\mathbb{Z},\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^{2^*})=0$ and, moreover, the image of $\pi_2(\mathbb{P}^{2^*})\to P_n(\mathbb{P}^2)$ belongs to the center which is a finite cyclic group (cf. [22]). This yields (34).

Theorem 6.3. *One has the following exact sequence:*

$$0\to\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^n\setminus\mathcal{B}(n,2))/(\nabla)\to\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(n+1,2))\to P_n(\mathbb{P}^1)/(\Delta^2)\to 0 \tag{35}$$

where ∇ is an element of infinite order in the center of the group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^n\setminus\mathcal{B}(n,2))$.

Proof. Consider following special case of (31)

$$\mathcal{G}(n+1,2)\to\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(n,1) \tag{36}$$

which assignes to a generic arrangement of lines in $\mathbb{P}^2$ the line H_{n+1} and collection of intersections of lines $H_1,...,H_n$ with it. The fiber is the discriminantal arrangement corresponding to the arrangement $H_i\cap H_{n+1}$ at infinity. This follows immediately from (2) in theorem 5.1 that this fibration is *locally trivial*. The corresponding homotopy sequence is:

$$\pi_2(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(n,1))\to\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^n\setminus\mathcal{B})\to\pi_1(\mathcal{G}(n+1,2))\to\pi_1(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(n,1))\to 0 \tag{37}$$

Interpretation of the elements $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^n\setminus\mathcal{B})$ as loops in the space of maps from disjoint union of lines in $\mathbb{P}^2$ into $\mathbb{P}^2$ and as loops in the space of diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^2$ fixing $\mathbb{P}^1$, similarly to the case of points in $\mathbb{P}^1$, shows that image corresponds to the generator of the fundamental group of group of diffeomorphisms generated by loop in $PGL(3)$ given by $(x,y,z)\to(\alpha x,\alpha y,z)$. Similarly to the case of pure braid group (cf. [2]) one shows that such element in $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^n\setminus\mathcal{B})$ has infinite order. □

References

[1] C.Athanasiadis, The largest intersection lattice of a discriminantal arrangement (English summary) Beiträge Algebra Geom., **40** (1999), no. 2, 283–289.

[2] Bigelow, Stephen J.; Budney, Ryan D. The mapping class group of a genus two surface is linear. Algebr. Geom. Topol. 1 (2001), 699-708.

[3] J.Birman, Braids, links, and mapping class groups, Annals of Mathematics Studies, **82**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1974.

[4] D. A. Cox, J. B. Little, H. K. Schenck, Toric varieties, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **124**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.

[5] H.Crapo, Concurrence geometries. Adv. in Math. 54 (1984), no. 3, 278-301.

[6] I. Dolgachev, D. Ortland *Points Sets in Projective Spaces and Theta Functions*, Astérisque, **165**, 1988.

[7] M.Falk, A note on discriminantal arrangements, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **122** (1994), no. 4, 1221–1227.

[8] M.Falk, V.Schechtman, A.Varchenko, BGG resolutions via configuration spaces. J. c. polytech. Math. 1 (2014), 225-245.

[9] Y.Feler, Spaces of geometrically generic configurations. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 10 (2008), no. 3, 601-624.
[10] M. Kapranov, Braided monoidal 2-categories and Manin-Schechtman higher braid groups, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, **92** (1994), no. 3, 241–267.
[11] T.Kohno, Integrable connections related to Manin and Schechtman's higher braid groups. Illinois J. Math. 34 (1990), no. 2, 476-484
[12] R.J. Lawrence, A presentation for Manin and Schechtman's higher braid groups, MSRI preprint (1991): http://www.ma.huji.ac.il/∼ruthel/papers/premsh.html .
[13] A.Libgober, On the homotopy type of the complement to plane algebraic curves, J. Reine Angew. Math., **367** (1986), 103–114.
[14] S. Manfredini, S. Settepanella, Braid groups in complex Grassmannians, Topology Appl., **176** (2014), 51–56.
[15] Y.I. Manin, V.V. Schechtman, Arrangements of hyperplanes, higher braid groups and higher Bruhat orders, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **17** (1990), 289–308.
[16] B.Moishezon, Stable branch curves and braid monodromies, Algebraic geometry (Chicago, Ill., 1980), pp. 107–192, Lecture Notes in Math., **862**, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981.
[17] P. Orlik, Introduction to arrangements, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math., **72**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.
[18] P.Orlik,H.Terao, Arrangements of hyperplanes, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], **300**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
[19] M. Perling, Divisorial Cohomology Vanishing on Toric Varieties, Documenta Math., **16** (2011), 209–251.
[20] M. Salvetti, Topology of the complement of real hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}^N$, Inv. Math., **88** (1987), 603–618.
[21] R.Stanley, An Introduction to Hyperplane Arrangements, IAS/Park City Mathematics Series, **14**, 2004.
[22] J.Van Buskirk, Braid groups of compact 2-manifolds with elements of finite order. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 122 1966 81-97.

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Chicago, IL 60607
E-mail address: libgober@math.uic.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Hokkaido, Sapporo, Japan.
E-mail address: simona.settepanella@gmail.com